		-
ile	With	

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO:_ABP_3 4485-22	Defer Re O/H			
Having considered the contents of the submission dated/ eceived 14/12/2023 from Colm & Eweling Kavanagh recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000 be not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s):. No new material issues				
E.O.: Pate Be	ate: 02/01/2024			
For further consideration by SEO/SAO				
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.				
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply.				
S.E.O.:	ate:			
SAO	ate:			
M Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached submission				
to: Task No: Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP				
EO:	ate:			
A A .	ate:			

Daniel O'Connor

From:

Ewelina Kavanagh <evelynkav@gmail.com>

Sent:

Thursday 14 December 2023 22:58

To:

Appeals2

Subject:

ABP-314485-22 appeal

Colm Kavanagh 1 Airportside Forest Little Cloghran Swords, co.Dublin

To Whom it may concern.

In regards to the extended night time flights at Dublin airport.

Our son is very sensitive to loud noises, he has severe learning disabilities, he also has asthma, it is already very tough on him with the runway operating 16hours a day. He is afraid to go to the garden because of the noise during the day, if they increase the hours of the night time flights then he won't be able to sleep either.

- They say in their report that the planes are getting quieter, that might make a difference to people living in blanchardstown or finglas but for people like us who are living 170 metres from the start of the runway and living in the red zone a quieter plane is not going to make any difference. We requested a noise test at our house to prove we are living in the red zone but so far DAA have refused to carry out one.
- In these time's of heightened awareness of the environment the smell of aviation fuel around our house all day is toxic, yet nobody is saying anything about that. Our son doesn't like to go outside into the garden anymore since the new north runway opened. To grant permission for the extended hours would be an increase of 12 1/2 % of a day that we would have to endure that toxic smell and noise.

That extended period of listening to that noise and breathing in those toxic fumes is going to cause long term damage to our families health and well-being which will lead to poorer quality of life and increased medical bills.

- In the DAA report they give figures of how much it would cost to sound proof the houses in the area, but a one size fits all approach will not work, surely logic dictates that a house closer to the runway is going to require more sound proofing than a house further away.

I propose we agree on a noise limit for inside the house and the DAA pay for whatever work needs to be done to obtain that limit.

- -All the scenarios they worked out might look great and sound great, but what happens if they are wrong? What if they don't obtain the noise reduction limits in the houses that they claim? They will have their night time flying hours and the locals will have to put up with the problem of the noise. Telling us that they must have made a miscalculation will be too late then.
- Every time an bord pleanala look for more information from DAA they give you the requested information. I would like to know who is checking this information and verifying that it is correct. DAA submitted information back in the eighties when they we're looking for planning permission for the south runway, this information turned out to be incorrect and the DAA admitted in a meeting years later that the information was incorrect. Who's to say this is not going to happen again. There is a substantial amount of information and scenarios in the papers they submitted and they must be checked by a reputable third party to verify if they are correct.
- We tried to sell our house recently, we had the house on the market for a year and a half, we never got any offers because of the noise from the airport.

 Thank you

Kind Regards Colm Kavanagh